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Abstract

Salamanders is a thirty-piece wooden sculpture that was group assembled by thirty volunteers in an exciting sculpture "barn raising" when I was artist-in-residence at M.I.T. in October/November 2003. It is composed of laser-cut salamander-shaped components which lie in the planes of a rhombic triacontahedron and were mathematically designed to weave through each other and exactly fit together on the outside.

1. Introduction

M.C. Escher playfully incorporated chameleons and other reptiles or amphibians in his two-dimensional geometric artwork [1]. In homage to his creative spirit, I designed my sculpture Salamanders to feature flat salamanders which interweave in three dimensions. Figure 1 shows it hanging temporarily inside a window overlooking the construction of Frank O. Gehry's new Stata Center at M.I.T. [2], where the sculpture will eventually reside.
My ultimate concept, if funding can be found, is for a large metal double sphere as shown in Figure 2. The inner and outer spheres are each made of thirty identical two-headed salamander shapes. Each part is parallel to an identical part similarly oriented in the other sphere. I find it visually interesting to show that the same salamander parts can be joined in these two contrasting arrangements---one very open and one very interlocked. It is a puzzle with two very different solutions.

The outer sphere of Figure 2 does not present any inordinate construction challenges. I am certain that I can fabricate its thirty components and assemble them. The inner sphere was my fundamental concern. From a computer rendering such as Figure 3, I can verify that there exists a configuration in which the parts do not intersect each other in the interior, yet exactly meet edge-to-edge along the exterior. However there is no mathematical method to determine if the thirty initially separate rigid components of this sculpture can be physically manipulated to weave them into the desired configuration. What is the assembly algorithm? Notice that one could not simply position pieces one at a time, because if one tried to insert the last piece after all the others are positioned, the legs would block access.

The two hands of one sculptor are not sufficient to manipulate so many components simultaneously, so this was an ideal question for the collective creativity of a group assembly project. I have led other sculpture "barn raisings" [4], but for them I had a proven assembly algorithm pre-designed. When I was invited to be artist in residence at MIT [6], this thirty-component assembly project struck me as an ideal group activity to try there.

Although geometric and salamander-filled, in most ways this work involves very different design problems than Escher's art, because these parts interweave in three dimensions. Both spheres of Figure 2 fall within a large family of geometric sculptures I have been exploring [5], based on symmetrically arranged planar components. Physically, these works consist of interwoven identical components that can be accurately laser cut, delivered flat to the assembly site, then woven through each other on-site and fastened together. Mathematically, the design of these sculptures involves drawing within the "stellation diagrams" of polyhedra. Other software exists for creating stellated polyhedra [9], but my approach [5] is unique in allowing design and visualization of free-form drawings within the stellation planes. Stylistically, this allows me to create an Escher-like sense of structured confusion.

2. Design

Figure 2: Salamanders, concentric spheres concept.

Figure 3: Inner sphere of Figure 2.
For *Salamanders*, the underlying polyhedron is the rhombic triacontahedron (RT), which consists of thirty "golden rhombi," arranged with icosahedral symmetry, as shown in Figure 4. When the thirty face planes of the RT are extended to infinity, the pattern of their intersection in any one of the planes is a set of lines partly visible in Figure 5. Superimposed over these lines is the shape I drew for the salamander part. The dark lines are to be laser cut and the light inner lines indicate how the area is divided into triangles.

![Figure 4: Rhombic triacontahedron (30 rhombi)](image)

![Figure 5: Salamander layout in RT stellation diagram](image)

If made in metal plate, I would design tabs with holes attached to the feet, folded to the proper dihedral angle. Dotted lines in Figure 6 show how two foot tabs could connect on the back of the head. Round-head bolts with hexagonal sockets would be used to hold everything together and also serve as eyes. However, for joining the wood components, I pre-made sixty small wood connectors, miter-cut at the appropriate face angles and dihedral angles. The feet screw into a connector glued behind each head.

![Figure 6: Design with bolts for eyes](image)

![Figure 7: Solid freeform fabrication model](image)

A 3D model of the form is shown in Figure 7. It is a 2.5 inch diameter model made on a Stratasys/Objet Eden 333 machine. The .stl file that describes its geometry is available at my web site for anyone with solid freeform fabrication equipment who wishes to make a copy [3]. Such models are assembled in thin cross-sectional layers on a 3D printing machine, so they give a visual and tactile sense of the structure. But they do not demonstrate whether the form could be assembled from rigid planar components.
I was afraid to put myself in the embarrassing position of creating thirty large parts and bringing a crew of thirty people together only to find out my design was impossible to assemble. So I made a seven-inch prototype in rigid acrylic plastic (Plexiglas), to verify that the parts can be woven without jamming together. Before I had thirty four parts cut, I had to lay them out for the 24 inch square working area of a laser cutting service. Interestingly, the parts pack together quite tightly, minimizing material wastage, as seen in Figure 8.

It took half a day to assemble the plastic parts. By wiggling parts slightly to open small spaces which let other parts be inserted, all the while holding everything loosely together with many fingers, bits of tape, and small rubber bands, eventually I managed to get the last piece in place. So I had an existence proof that assembly was possible, but I certainly did not have anything like a well-defined assembly algorithm. The resulting model, after gluing the parts, is shown in Figure 9. Because it is clear, it may difficult to determine what is what in that photo, but I can attest that in person it is quite cool looking.

3. Sculpture Barn Raising

When the time came for my residency at MIT, I brought the acrylic model and the 3D printing model with me to show people what we would be building at the group assembly event. I am very grateful to my host Erik Demaine, and also to Marty Demaine and Abhi Shelat, all of whom spent many hours with me on the preparations. In the days there before the assembly, we used a laser cutter to cut the thirty wood salamanders (and some spares). The wood we finally selected is a Baltic birch plywood, laser-engraved with ovals for the eyes, then sanded, drilled and countersunk in four places for screws, glued to two mitered wood junction pieces, and given a protective coating of tung oil. We also made a large quantity of scaled-down paper salamanders for practice assembly. One important lesson we learned late along the way is not to purchase large amounts of expensive ash plywood of a type which chars into embers when one tries to laser-cut it.

If you want to make your own paper or wood model, Figure 10 is an image of how we laid out a pair of parts in the 32-by-18 inch bed of the laser cutter we used. Even without a laser-cutter, you can make thirty copies (enlarged) on card stock, cut them out with scissors, and assemble your own paper model.
On the day of assembly, roughly fifty volunteers started work around four large tables in one of the studio art rooms. Everyone received an envelope with thirty laser-cut paper salamanders. After some instruction on how the parts go together, and by studying the models I brought, we began individually or in small groups to assemble. Figure 11 shows one of the paper models. Figure 12 shows its construction. Small pieces of clear tape hold a long leg, a short leg, and a head at each of the sixty junctions. It is important to understand that the two-headed salamanders can have their heads all facing to the left or all facing to the right, and that each salamander is part of two different types of pentagonal cycles.
It is initially tricky to master how the parts weave through each other, and internalize what should be inside and what should be outside. The long legs which make a star pattern at the five-fold junctions are the biggest problem. The "ankle" of each long leg must be outside of the "knee" of the leg it crosses. Paper is flexible, allowing legs to be bent around each other and into place. But the real challenge for everyone was to design an assembly strategy which would later work with rigid wooden components. After an hour and fifteen minutes of paper practice, I felt that enough people understood the structure. We then started on the real assembly of the wooden parts.

![Figure 13: Starting the assembly of the wooden salamanders](image)

After some discussion, the assembly method we chose was based on three units of ten salamanders each: two polar caps and an equator. Figure 13 shows the initial step, working in the air with five parts around an imagined vertical five-fold symmetry axis. Then another five parts weave into those, making the first cap of ten parts. Figure 14 is a view from below looking up into the first cap being assembled. It was loosely screwed together, and then put aside. A second cap was assembled in the same manner. Our strategy was to make an equator of the remaining ten parts to connect the top and bottom caps.

![Figure 14: Looking up into the first cap of ten salamanders.](image)
We did the final assembly by placing one cap facing up on the table and first adding an equatorial ring of five salamanders whose bodies are aligned vertically, as seen in Figure 15. Then we wove in the overlapping equatorial ring of five salamanders whose bodies are aligned more horizontally, shown in Figure 16. (Each salamander is part of one ring of each type; each five-fold equator is made of both types of ring, concentrically arranged but not contacting each other.) Finally, the other cap was lowered on to the top, as shown in Figure 17. This last step took some time and a number of retries to get the legs properly interwoven.

Everything worked out, so the remaining screws could be inserted and all 120 screws were tightened. The final result is in Figure 18. I found it to be surprisingly rigid for its 15 pounds of weight. The total time for the assembly of the wooden parts was one hour and forty minutes.
4. Conclusion

I consider the Salamanders barn raising to have been a great success. I am very happy with the final sculpture and the wonderful preparation and assembly support that I received at MIT. Many more photos plus short digitized videos of the assembly are available online [7]. The work has been selected as the first in the art collection of the MIT Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence Laboratory. Our plan for its future is that it will hang in the new Stata center. After it is moved to its public location, I hope Salamanders will remind viewers of the beauty of geometry and the tradition of M.C. Escher.
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